

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/897,604	07/03/2001	Hachiro Honda	Q65208	9621	
75	90 04/07/2004		EXAM	INER	
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC			FLEURANTIN, JEAN B		
	nia Avenue, N.W. C 20037-3202		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
washington, D	C 20037-3202		2172		

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

/ /J\

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/897,604	HONDA, HACHIRO					
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Jean B Fleurantin	2172					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 17 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper repl n places the applica	y to a ition in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the main	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The approriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
 (c) they are not deemed to place the application is issues for appeal; and/or 	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	nplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceliNOTE:	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	s.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		dered but does NO	T place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: NONE.							
Claim(s) objected to: NONE.							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b)☐ disapproved by t	he Examiner.	4 4				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
10. Other:		all h					
		ALFORD KINE					





Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With respect to Applicant's argument on pages 4 and 5, that "Kobashi does not explicitly indicate a retrieval request ... the retrieval result, as further described in claim 1 and 4, and cited Jacobs to cure the deficiency." The examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combined teachings of Kobayashi and Jacobs with receiving a retrieval request ... retrieval result. Such modification would allow the combined teachings of Kobayashi and Jacobs to provide access information can be passed between the personal computers on the network, (see Jacobs col. 1, lines 23-24). The limitations of claims 1-15 are rejected under 103(a) of (US Pat. No. 6,275,825) issued to Kobashi in view of (US Pat. No. 5,694,595) issued to Jacobs. Therefore, the last Office Action is maintained.